

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/115,963	Applicant(s) SCHNEIDER ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael G. Hartley	Art Unit 1618	

All Participants:

(1) Michael G. Hartley.

(2) Art Crawford (Atty. for Appl.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to request a substitute oath/declaration that lists an error providing a suitable basis for reissue. Also, it was agreed upon to delete the foreign priority data from the first line of the specification, since foreign priority is not usually added to the first line of the specification..